

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/782,650 02/12/2001 Arnold J. Levine 20553D000611 7053 20350 7590 10/04/2006 **EXAMINER** TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP ANGELL, JON E TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 1635

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/782,650	LEVINE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jon Eric Angell	1635
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirg will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A	pril 2006 and 19 July 2006	
	s action is non-final.	Į
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		esecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Disposition of Claims	,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,28-35 and 39-41</u> is/are pending ir	n the annlication	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with total consideration.	
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,28-30,32,34 and 35</u> is/are reje	ected	
7) Claim(s) 3,31,33 and 39-41 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
	· election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	·	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/24/2006 and 7/19/2006 have been entered.

Applicant's arguments are addressed on a per section basis. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this Action can be found in a prior Office Action. Any rejections not reiterated in this action have been withdrawn as being obviated by the amendment of the claims and/or applicant's arguments.

Claims 1-7, 28-35, 39-41 are examined herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 28-30, 32, 34, and 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,650

Art Unit: 1635

÷

To provide adequate written description and evidence of possession of a claimed genus. the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of compete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product, or any combination thereof. In this case, the claims encompass a chimeric molecule comprising a targeting peptide capable of specifically binding to cardio vascular endothelium wherein the targeting peptide comprises the targeting peptide sequence SEO ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 3, SEQ ID NO: 4 or SEQ ID NO: 5, or a functionally equivalent peptide sequence wherein the functionally equivalent peptide sequence differs from the peptide sequence by a single conservative amino acid substitution (e.g., see claim 1). It is note that the specification indicates "Such conservatively modified variants are in addition to and do not exclude polymorphic variants and alleles of the invention." (See page 17, lines 2-3). Therefore, the claims encompass variants which are single conservative amino acid substitutions of SEQ ID NO: 1-5, including polymorphic variants and alleles that are different from SEO ID NOS: 1-5, but which have not been identified. Additionally, the specification does not indicate which amino acids of the targeting peptide sequence are critical for conferring specific binding to cardio vascular endothelium nor is there an working example indicating which amino acids can be substituted without changing the function of the peptide. Furthermore, the art also teaches that even a single amino acid difference can completely change the function of a polypeptide. Specifically, Witkowski et al. (Biochemistry, 1999) teaches that one amino acid substitution transforms a β-ketoacyl synthase into a malonyl decarboxylase and completely eliminates βketoacyl synthase activity. Therefore, changing a single amino acid of the instant targeting

Art Unit: 1635

peptides may change the ability of the peptides to specifically target cardiovascular endothelium. Furthermore, the specification does not provide evidence which indicates which amino acids can be changed and which amino acids can be used as substitutes without changing the targeting function of the peptides.

Accordingly, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states, "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed." (See Vas-Cath at page 1116). As discussed above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed genus of molecules, and therefore conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation. Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of isolating it. The compound itself is required. See Fiers v. Revel, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2d 1016.

Therefore, only the targeting peptide sequences comprising SEQ ID NO: 1, SEQ ID NO: 2, SEQ ID NO: 3, SEQ ID NO: 4 or SEQ ID NO: 5 meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that Vas-Cath makes clear that the written

Art Unit: 1635

description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

Claim Objections

Claims 3, 31, 33, 39, 40 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Relevant Non-Prior Art

Applicants' attention is directed to U.S. Patent No. 6,303,573 B1 and U.S. Patent Application No. 2003/0045476 A1, neither of which are prior art as they were filed on the same date as the instant Application, but which encompass the instant claimed subject matter.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/24/2006 have been fully considered.

Applicant's arguments, in view of the amendment filed 4/24/2006 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (new matter) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration and in view of the amendment to the claims, a new ground(s) of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph (written description) is set forth for the reasons indicated herein.

Art Unit: 1635

Conclusion

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon Eric Angell whose telephone number is 571-272-0756. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on 571-272-4517. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PATENT EXAMINER

J.E. Angell, Ph.D. Art Unit 1635